Anne Marsden

Gentlemen Versus Players: public squabbling over the membership of the foundation committee of the Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution

At the establishment of every similar institution it almost invariably happens that little incidents occur calculated to mar the harmony . . .

TO STEP FROM Collins Street into the Melbourne Athenaeum Library is to be enveloped in a rich past, dating back to the early days of the Library’s forerunner, the Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution. But establishing the first such organisation in Port Phillip, later to become the colony of Victoria, was to prove a fractious undertaking. The lines quoted above are diplomatically couched but refer to acrimonious early conflict. Teasing out the strands of discord reveals provincial politics and social power struggles in the Melbourne of the late 1830s.

Mechanics’ institutes had been established in Britain from the early 1800s to offer education in technical subjects to mechanics. In literature relating to the Industrial Revolution the word ‘mechanic’ appears synonymous with artisan, craftsman, manual worker and machine operative. However, studies of British institutes indicate a general failure to achieve the aim of catering for the working man, instead appealing more to the middle classes.

When giving a lecture at the 1861 inauguration of the School of Arts in Wollongong, New South Wales, John Woolley, a leading promoter of adult education, looked back on the establishment of mechanics’ institutes in Australia. He commented that there had been a need for a different approach in such institutions in Australia:

Our wants are not those of England thirty years ago: we require not only skill in a section of our people, but education for all; not mere art-culture but preparation for the general duties of society . . . The colonist . . . must think and act for himself. Nothing is so striking to a European as the handiness of those in the bush; their fertility of resource, and self-dependence.

II

In an address of welcome given by the Melbourne Union Benefit Society in October 1839 to the new Superintendent, Charles Joseph La Trobe, the Union’s master builders asserted the importance of trades in the rapidly growing community. Since the first weatherboard house was erected on the Yarra River four years previously there were now three hundred and eighty five houses, five churches, and various manufactories and
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Original Minute book of the Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution, showing the election on 12 November 1839, of the first committee. Athenaeum Library Archives, Melbourne.
trades ‘whose labours it may be confidently asserted have accomplished more for this little place than any other class of its mingled community’. Skilled labour in the settlement was scarce. Whilst as yet there was little manufacturing requiring machine operatives, there was increasing activity on the building scene. George Arden in an editorial in the *Port Phillip Gazette* that year laments the lack of good tradesmen, asserting that: ‘artisans, of whatever denomination, in this part of the world, must be either thoroughly idle, or irreclaimable drunkards not to prosper.’

The master builders proposed:

‘That a Mechanics’ Institution be formed in Melbourne for the promotion of science in this rising colony, particularly amongst the young, as well as the operative classes.’

However, their initiative came close to being hijacked by a powerful social elite. A meeting called to discuss forming a mechanics’ institution, chaired by Captain William Lonsdale, had only a small number of attendees, as many Melburnians, it has been claimed, were more interested in seeing the town fireworks on Guy Fawkes night. The Revd William Waterfield, the first minister of the Independent Congregational Church in Melbourne, wrote in his diary: ‘After the passing of one or two resolutions, the whole was a scene of confusion, through the interference of Mr Arden, who displayed any other spirit than that of a gentleman. I was quite surprised for I did not expect it from him.’

John Pascoe Fawkner in his *Port Phillip Patriot & Melbourne Advertiser* reported that:
A meeting was held . . . to form a Mechanics’ Institute and School of Arts. Some resolutions were prepared, a Committee named, and a Subscription list commenced. . . . The business is taken up with much vigour and spirit by the mechanics, and appears so likely to be well-supported by the monied interest, that nothing can cause its failure—except some disappointed maniac should sow discord, where now is concord and co-operation.9

It is most likely that George Arden was Fawkner’s ‘maniac’.

Arden, in the Port Phillip Gazette, explained that he had objected to the ‘ready-made list’ of the committee nominees because the members themselves should decide by ‘a free and open election’. He added: ‘After a long discussion, which some parties, (who we are sorry to observe, are more bent on evil than good), distorted into disunion, our amendment was carried by a fair majority.’10

In a later issue of the Gazette Arden further explained:

We owe to ourselves a word in vindication of the conduct pursued by us upon the former occasion. . . . There was, we believe, a preliminary general meeting held the previous night . . . of this convention however only a few hours’ notice had been given, and its whereabouts was studiously suppressed. . . . The preparatory committee who were named drew up a list . . . which was perfectly ridiculous . . . of the twenty four only eight were mechanics, and of the remaining sixteen, eight could not have been worse chosen.11

So, who was involved in the ‘secret’ preliminary meeting? Marc Askew, in his 1992 thesis on mechanics’ institutes in Victoria, wrote:

Fawkner had nominated a group of his friends and supporters for membership of the provisional committee . . . The artisans of the Union Benefit Society had invited financial support from the well-to-do of the town, but it is unlikely that they expected to have their project undermined and taken over by Melbourne’s leading political factions.12

However, no direct evidence has yet been sighted to confirm Fawkner’s role in the preliminary meeting. Askew, in an earlier 1982 work, considered that ‘this group may well have been organised by J. P. Fawkner’, referring to a 1955 thesis by Jill Lundie which states: ‘George Arden had attacked the “secret conference” with which apparently John Pascoe Fawkner had some connection . . .’. Neither confirms Fawkner’s direct involvement.13

Neither did Arden name Fawkner directly in an editorial in the Port Philip Gazette:

It was said, by those whose names were entered [put forward at the preliminary meeting] that we disputed their appointment with a view of bringing ourselves into collision with PERSONS . . . our sole reason was a desire of supporting an abstract principle . . . that officers must be nominated by the members.14

The meeting was reconvened on 12 November. On this occasion the assistant secretary, Mr W. Morrisson, turned the Minutes book upside down, entering the night’s minutes at the other end from those of the previous week, perhaps seeking a fresh start after the initial conflict.

The sniping from opposing editorial rooms continued. Fawkner reported in the Patriot:
The [reconvened] meeting to form a Mechanics' Society, or Institute, took place on Tuesday last and sundry resolutions were passed; but it was plain to be seen (from the absence of the monied and influential persons, who, on the preceding Tuesday, gave their free support, until a fractious adventurer marred the harmony of the meeting), that the Institution had lost a great and powerful support. The Vandal, we hear, boasts that his machinations have ruined this Institution. Can any man, said to be of letters, boast that he has retarded the progress of knowledge. We pity such a wretch, and look with contempt upon his feebleness and folly. . . .

Arden, already a ‘maniac’ was now a ‘vandal’.

In the Gazette Arden reported on the reconvened meeting: ‘Upwards of 200 persons were present, and the important act of nominating its officers was passed without opposition. To effect this desirable object a meeting had been called, consisting entirely of mechanics.’

We hear again from our reliable diarist, Waterfield, who wrote after the reconvened meeting, suggesting another fiery episode: ‘In remarks which were made the ministers of religion were treated with anything but respect.’

A week later a writer to the Patriot protested:

Sir – in looking over the Proceedings of the Mechanic’s Institute, I see very strong symptoms of disease. I perceive that the Polemical divinity, and the Clergy of the English Churches [possibly referring to Congregationist, William Waterfield], are equally shut out. And both the Gentlemen of the Scotch Church [Presbyterians Revd James Clow and Revd James Forbes] are placed in office. Now Sir, do you think this right? If you do, the Public declare you are out of your latitude – so farewell to the Institute, and goodbye to you. ‘O.P.Q.’

III

Whether or not Fawkner instigated the preliminary meeting, it appears that he continued to make trouble for the fledging institution. Fawkner turned his enmity towards the Sydneysiders, some of whom were involved in the establishment of the Mechanics’ Institution, and whom he regarded as threatening his prominence in Melbourne’s affairs. In his 1985 biography of Fawkner, Cecil Billot comments: ‘To him the Vandemonians . . . were the genuine Port Phillippines; those from Sydney . . . were but greedy intruders out to profit from the enterprise and foresight and hard work of the true pioneers.’

T. L. Work, writing in 1897 on the early Victorian press describes the ‘fine slang-whanging mill’ between the Vandemonian ‘T’othersiders’ and the ‘Sydney-siders’. He refers to Fawkner giving Arden ‘hot coffee’ on every occasion, and to Arden’s comment that ‘The Vandemonian cur is yelping at us again’.

Fawkner, son of a transported convict, and almost thirty years older than Arden, would most likely have been affronted by opposition from this young Sydneyite, proud of his aristocratic background, who at a mere eighteen years of age in 1838 had launched the Port Phillip Gazette with Thomas Strode.

In an Introductory Lecture to the Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution in April 1840,
Revd Thomas Osborne, a retired Presbyterian minister, gave his views on the ‘pleasures and advantages’ of such an institute. Was his aim to pour oil on the troubled waters of the recent conflict?

Although in our worldly intercourse with each other little jealousies . . . and petty dissensions will arise, when we meet on such occasions the mind begins to reflect and perhaps to discover that some blame belonged to self; that all should not have been placed to the debit of him with whom we disagreed.22

The committee’s Report to the first Annual General Meeting in June 1840 mentions early unrest:

At the establishment of every similar institution it almost invariably happens that little incidents occur calculated to mar the harmony . . . and that the grand object which all desired to promote is for a time lost sight of amongst the number of different opinions advanced and in the warmth of debate to which they give rise. Anxious, therefore, to draw a veil over the unpleasant past, your Committee have the pleasure of announcing that the Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution is at present in a most flourishing state and that there are the most cheering prospects to encourage its friends to future exertion.23
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However the future would not be easy. If we are to believe the word of Arden’s partner, printer Thomas Strode, Fawkner’s ongoing enmity contributed to the ‘little incidents’. Strode’s reminiscences of the Melbourne of 1839-1841 were written nearly 30 years later and remained unpublished, possibly because his outspoken views and hostility towards Fawkner might have attracted libel suits. However, Strode comments that ‘in fact the remarks were written and intended to be published before his death, therefore we see no just reason why the manuscript should now [after Fawkner’s death] be altered’.

In October 1838 when Arden and Strode established the Port Phillip Gazette, the first authorised Port Phillip newspaper, Fawkner had already been instructed to cease publication of his Melbourne Advertiser pending the granting of a licence. In the Gazette of 29 January 1840, Arden declared ‘That we put forth a just claim to the honour of having been the first journalist in Melbourne will not require three lines of argument. The Paper which has hitherto pirated the position issued only two or three unreadable numbers of a publication which was suppressed as illegal’. In late January 1839 Fawkner sent out a circular announcing his intention to publish his paper, and in April 1839 he was able to restart his now authorised newspaper, renamed the Port Phillip Patriot and Melbourne Advertiser.

Strode alleged that he had encountered obstructions in the production of the early numbers of the Gazette including the time a compositor downed tools for some weeks, according to Strode at the behest of Fawkner. There was a desperate shortage of labour and employers boarded incoming ships to engage workmen. ‘Mr Strode had been successful one day in getting a man, and had agreed as to wages, and he was well pleased; but the man never turned up, and Mr Strode subsequently learned that Fawkner had also visited the ship, interviewed the printer, and offered him higher wages, and so secured his services.’

Billot considered that Strode may have painted a rather jaundiced view of Fawkner: ‘When considering any opinion of Fawkner recorded by Thomas Strode, it must be remembered that the Sydney gentleman harboured hatred for the little publican which deepened with the years.’

Strode wrote that following the establishment of the Mechanics’ Institution:

Dissentions, jealousies, and ill-will, soon entered the camp; the gentlemen who composed but a small portion of the Committee endeavoured to override the four-fifths of that body who were mechanics – to divert the Institution from its original purpose, and appropriate it to their own special use. The feud . . . was fostered and abetted by the spleen of a ‘peculiar little old man’ [Strode did not name Fawkner directly in his reminiscences] who was sorely annoyed at the Institution being established without his aid or even being consulted in the matter, and he was the more enraged that the project should have emanated from mechanics – members of the Union Benefit Society – many of whom had previously belonged to the School of Arts at Sydney, which latter fact was sufficient to rouse the ire of this bellicose individual and induce him to form a clique for the total destruction
of the Mechanics’ Institution particularly, and the extermination of all Sydneyites especially, from the face of Port Phillip.

He added:

Mr Gisborne [a founding vice-president] . . . aided by the powerful pen of Mr George Arden, in the *Gazette* newspaper, together with the moral courage displayed by Messrs Peers, Caulfield, Strode, and one or two others, who were on the Committee . . . disconcerted the plans of the belligerents; their party and champion were completely routed and retired from the combat crestfallen, and if not better, no doubt, wiser men.31

It is worth noting that Arden and Strode printed in June 1840 the first Annual Report of the Institution, apparently having ‘seen off’ rival printer Fawkner.

IV

The 1839 committee appears extraordinarily cumbersome and top-heavy. Charles Joseph La Trobe, recently arrived to take up the position of Superintendent of Port Phillip was appointed patron. Captain William Lonsdale, elected as president, was joined by eight vice-presidents, eight office-bearers and thirty-two committee members, no fewer than fifty gentlemen.

It is likely that the domination of vice-president and office-bearing positions by gentlemen was to appease the thwarted faction involved in the ‘secret’ meeting. Of the sixteen vice-presidents and office-bearers possibly only assistant secretary William Morrisson, master builder, was a ‘mechanic’. Of the thirty-two members of the general committee it is likely that approximately half were mechanics.

In the population in 1839 of around 3500, the committee was representative of the ‘movers and shakers’ of Melbourne Town. These men possessed considerable energy and drive; many were born around the end of the first decade of the 1800s and were eager to be involved in all the major political and social activities of the day. The same names crop up in the directorate of many other organisations. Seven of the eight members elected to a committee to arrange a Testimonial to Captain Lonsdale for his three years of service were elected the same week to the committee of the Mechanics’ Institution.32

It is interesting to note who was not elected to the Institution’s committee. Unsurprisingly, Fawkner was not on the list but neither was Arden or Waterfield. Having supported the mechanics against the ‘stacking’ of the committee, Arden may have thought it prudent not to stand or possibly those in the key positions may have not wanted him because of his original stance. Arden was young, confrontational, and ambitious and had many irons in the fire, being able to exert influence in the settlement through his newspaper. A member of the Institution, he remained involved, even listed as ‘present’ and proposing a motion at a committee meeting on 27 November 1839, possibly authorised to act on behalf of an absentee committee member. He gave an early lecture to the Institution in May 1840. Waterfield may have been regarded as too close to Fawkner. His diary reveals a rather unworldly man and perhaps he saw himself as unequipped to deal with the politics and infighting he witnessed.
In 1839 the members represented a cross-section of the major professions and trades in the community, falling into a number of reasonably well-defined social categories. Paul de Serville, in his *Port Phillip Gentlemen*, considered that the men of Port Phillip could be grouped either as those of good society or those outside it. Within good society, he places gentlemen of good family, gentlemen by profession or commission, and gentlemen by upbringing.\textsuperscript{33}

In his first group of gentlemen, small in number, were those of good birth who belonged to families in the peerage and landed gentry. Representatives on the first committee included a number of vice-presidents: grazier William Yaldwyn, Commissioner of Crown Lands Henry Gisborne, merchant James Graham, and Dr Farquhar McCrae.

In his groups,\textsuperscript{34} ‘gentlemen by profession or commission’ and ‘gentlemen by upbringing’ who were prominent in society, de Serville includes members of the Melbourne Club, Anglican clergymen, barristers, graduates of British and European universities, and Army and Navy officers. Included here are the following Mechanics’ Institution vice-presidents and office-bearers: banker William Highett, Melbourne’s first...
surveyor Robert Russell, Officer-in-Charge Mounted Police Captain George Smyth, and surgeon Dr Alexander Thomson. Committee members included prominent merchants Patricius Welsh and William Rucker.

De Serville observed ‘Outside good society stood the men of substance and respectability: merchants, civil servants, professional men, squatters, landowners, and others. As magistrates, town councillors, mayors, and parliamentarians they took part in the public life of the settlement.’ Committee members here included builders George Beaver, Peter Bodecin, John Caulfield, Peter Hurlstone, Patrick Mayne, and John Peers, saddler John Dinwoodie, brewer John Murphy, surveyor James Rattenbury, and printer Thomas Strode. Many of these were employers with a sizeable workforce.

De Serville points out that relations between the ‘gentlemen’ and ‘men of substance’ were complicated and often they sat on the same committees. ‘Eventually, in the 1850s, many of the respectable colonists were accepted in society. However, during the 1840s there was considerable ill-feeling and rivalry between those in and out of society.’

V

How did the committee-men come to be in Melbourne at the time of their election to the Institution’s committee? What were their origins, and political, cultural and social networks?

As discussed by James Boyce in his 2012 account of the founding of Melbourne, early incursions into the Port Phillip District were made without government sanction by a group of private adventurers known as the Port Phillip Association. The result of the privateers’ actions could be said to have forced the hand of the New South Wales government in authorising settlement in the District in 1836.

Men who were associated with this group and who would become committee members included aforementioned surgeon Dr Alexander Thomson, Van Diemen’s Land Chief Constable Patricius Welsh, and police magistrate James Simpson, who would take over from Captain Lonsdale as the Institution’s president from 1840. The Association was formed in Hobart in 1835 by those in league with John Batman to acquire land from aborigines in Port Phillip in order to settle permanently. On the strength of a ‘treaty’ made by Batman with the Aborigines in early June 1835, the Association allotted land in Port Phillip to its associates, some of whom lost no time in importing stock from Van Diemen’s Land. In late 1835 Batman landed cattle and 500 sheep at Gellibrand’s Point (present day Williamstown); on the same ship Thomson consigned 50 pure Hereford cows.

Thomson with his wife and small daughter arrived in the settlement in the first part of 1836. He was probably the first committee member, and his the first white family, to arrive in the settlement, which would be named Melbourne the following year. Several weeks later the Wesleyan Minister, Revd James Orton arrived and found the Thomsons living in a tent adjacent to the Batman family on the banks of the Yarra River:
Upon entering their temporary domicile I beheld them with a table roughly laid out but laden with plain good provision – amongst which was a fine snapper recently caught and smoking hot, of which you may suppose I partook heartily, in connection with a delightful cup of tea and good damper. So you see people live sumptuously here as well as in the land of domestic comforts.40

Government appointments brought some to Port Phillip. Henry Gisborne who at age twenty-one had taken a government post in Sydney in 1834, was appointed in 1839 by Governor Gipps as the first Commissioner for Crown Lands for Port Phillip. Henry, a committee vice-president, bequeathed his personal book collection to the Institution where it became the nucleus for its library following his early death in 1841. Another government appointee, Robert Russell, was the first surveyor appointed to Port Phillip, arriving in October 1836 from New South Wales where he had been acting assistant town surveyor.

Extended family groups arriving in Melbourne from New South Wales included that of banker David Charteris McArthur and his younger brother, survey clerk Donald Gordon, left impoverished after the early death of their father. In 1838, David McArthur, who would be remembered as the ‘Father of Victorian Banking’, arrived in Melbourne with £3000 in coin, an armed guard and two bulldogs to establish a branch of the Bank of Australasia – the first bank in Melbourne – in a two-roomed brick cottage in Little Collins Street.41 Whilst in the 1830s those associated with banking and commerce were not considered the social equals of the landed gentry, McArthur, inaugural auditor of the Mechanics’ Institution, was an example of those who would blur such boundaries, in his case through hard work, financial acumen, and cultivation of the role of wealthy landowner. His financial and organisational skills were invaluable in the early community and he was appointed a trustee of a number of commercial and religious organisations.

In 1851 he would become a member of that bastion of exclusiveness, the Melbourne Club, and its president in the 1860s. He succeeded Redmond Barry as president of the Public Library following the latter’s death in 1880, and was a pallbearer at Barry’s funeral. The coats of arms of the founding committee are still to be seen in the roof of the Library’s entrance. After McArthur’s death the ‘Picture Gallery’ was renamed the ‘McArthur Gallery’.42

Dr Farquhar McCrae and his family arrived from Sydney in 1839. McCrae, and his solicitor brother Andrew played an important part in the early Mechanics’ Institution, and Andrew’s wife Georgiana McCrae became well known for her miniatures and portraits.

Others who came south from New South Wales included the Institution’s treasurer, pastoralist John Gardiner, known as ‘the Overlander’, who with Joseph Hawdon and John Hepburn made the first overland expedition undertaken to Port Phillip after Governor Bourke had authorised settlement. They set out from Yass in October 1836 with their stock, arriving in an area subsequently named Gardiner’s Creek, an outer suburb of Melbourne.43 Vice-president William Yaldwyn overlanded with his stock from New
South Wales to Port Phillip in 1837 to the property he had purchased near the Campaspe River.\textsuperscript{44}

Not only pastoralists but merchants were attracted by Port Phillip’s potential. Early editions of the \textit{Port Phillip Advertiser}, \textit{Port Phillip Gazette} and \textit{Port Phillip Patriot and Advertiser} carried advertisements by committee members including merchants William Rucker, James Graham, Skene Craig, Patricius Welsh and others for their imported goods, such as spirits and livestock. Auctioneer Robert Reeves advertised in the \textit{Port Phillip Gazette} of 6 November 1839, the week the Institution was established, that he ‘makes no alluring promises, but shall let his mode of doing business speak for itself’.

Merchant, George Porter, whose early career had been with the East India Company in India, transferred his activities from Sydney to Melbourne in September 1839, just prior to his election as a vice-president of the Institution, indicating previous connections with Melbourne.

Vice-presidents Henry Gisborne, George B. Smyth, and Dr Farquhar McCrae, auditor William Highett, and committee members William Rucker, Robert Russell, and Patricius Welsh, were early members of the Melbourne Club. Established in 1838 this Club provided a base for the social elite. Others, including vice-presidents Revd James Clow and Thomas Wills, the Institution’s museum curator David Wilkie, committee members Skene Craig, and [either David or Donald] McArthur, would become members of the Port Phillip Club, sometimes known as the ‘second eleven’, established in 1840.

Wealth was a passport to social acceptance. Thomas Wills, a vice-president of the Institution who inherited considerable assets, was accepted into Melbourne’s social elite in spite of having a father who was transported to Australia for highway robbery.\textsuperscript{45} Society turned a blind eye to Wills’ lifestyle. He had a de facto relationship in England, resulting in four sons, while he had a wife and daughter in Melbourne. Even his return to Australia with an illegitimate four-year-old son in tow seemed not to affect his social standing.\textsuperscript{46}

There was therefore a tight-knit social elite in Port Phillip not initially open to those outside ‘good society’. The social ranking of the foundation committee members of the Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution based on the De Serville ‘in’ and ‘outside good society’ categories is given in an appendix to this article.

\section*{VI}

Although the networks of trade employers were forged through sourcing materials and developing a skilled workforce, influential connections were all-important. Builders were in high demand by those requiring urgent building works: ‘The size of some of the contracts [for government works] suggests large numbers of men working for employers such as [George] Beaver and [Patrick] Main.’\textsuperscript{47} Beaver, following the completion of Melbourne’s new courthouse in 1842, gave a dinner ‘in his upper workshop’ for eighty employees.\textsuperscript{48} James Rattenbury, who succeeded Robert Russell as clerk of works, planned
the new courthouse, also many other essential buildings including the military barracks, 
the gaol, and the public wharf.49

Freemasons’ Lodges were established early; builders Joseph Anderson and Alexander 
Sim, and printer Thomas Strode would rub shoulders there with the gentlemen. Saddler 
John Dinwoodie, a member of the Caledonian Society and Strode at the Society of St 
George, would build up useful contacts. Religious affiliations were strong and sometimes 
of necessity ecumenical: engineer/builder Peter Hurlestone, a Wesleyan, was an organist 
who in 1843 built an organ for the Anglican St James’ Church, constructed by George 
Beaver using stone sourced by builder Alexander Sims.50 In 1841 Anglican services were 
held in Beaver’s new workshop.51 Presbyterian merchant Skene Craig would have been 

close to prominent Presbyterian committee members Revd James Clow and Revd James 
Forbes. In 1838, carpenter Peter Bodecin, ‘a zealous French Catholic’, held prayer meetings 
in his house and petitioned for a priest.52 Congregationalist John Murphy, brewer, and 
Baptist Robert Reeves, auctioneer, were amongst those who would attend the Auxiliary 
Bible Society, established in 1840, along with a number of committee gentlemen.

Past regimental ties transcended rank: George Wintle, Melbourne’s first gaoler – his 
establishment known as ‘Wintle’s Hotel’ – had been a drum-major in Captain 
William Lonsdale’s old Regiment, the 4th ‘King’s Own’.53 Builders John Peers and John 
Caulfield were associated not only through building activities but also through music 
in the Wesleyan church. Peers, musician and singer, led an early choir, accompanied 
by Caulfield on his clarinet. Relations between Peers and Caulfield were not always 
harmonious: they appeared in court in December 1838 over an incident in a public 
house when Caulfield accused Peers of ill-using him. A witness said that they threw 
glasses of ginger beer at each other, following which Caulfield threw Peers down on a 
sofa and kicked him. They were fined ten shillings.54

Sporting activities were set up early by those anxious to replicate the landed 
gentry’s traditional leisure activities. Donald McArthur, lowly survey clerk and keen 
cricketer, is credited with purchasing the first balls, bats and stumps for the fledgling 
Melbourne Cricket Club.55 Printer Thomas Strode raced a horse at Flemington in 1840, 
an activity dominated by the gentlemen.56

During the 1840s a number of these men, initially ‘outside good society’ would go 
on to participate in local government. In 1841 builder John Peers was one of seven elected 
to the Market Commission.57 Others were elected in early municipal elections, including 
George Beaver, John Rankin, and Robert Reeves. Brewer John Murphy, who replaced 
Fawkner in the 1843 council elections, was described by Edmund Finn [Garryowen] as 
‘one of the most upright and best-esteemed men in Melbourne’, possibly reflecting the 
high standing these men achieved in early Melbourne.58

Marriage partners were also important. De Serville observed: ‘The ties of marriage 
were beginning to bind members of society and transform a collection of men of 
disparate backgrounds into a more unified class based as much on blood and marriage
as on shared interests and attitudes. Vice-president Presbyterian Revd James Clow would see two of his daughters married to other committee members of 1839: one to the secretary, Revd James Forbes, who was Melbourne’s Presbyterian Moderator, and another to the Institution’s first curator, Dr David Wilkie, a Presbyterian church elder.

VII

Drawn early to Port Phillip’s commercial, agricultural and evangelical opportunities, the men of the founding committee of the Mechanics’ Institution were mostly young, energetic and eager to be part of the fast growing settlement. The early arrivals from Hobart resented the following influx of pastoralists and merchants from New South Wales, reflecting early signs of a Melbourne/Sydney rivalry. By the late 1830s many had developed influential connections, made even stronger by family and marriage alliances. They represented an influential cohort of the population, drawn from both within and outside elite society.

Gentlemen dominated early government, military and police appointments supported by a legal system biased against the workers. However, facing a challenge from those associated with banking, commerce and trades, many would struggle to preserve the privileged lifestyle of the British landed gentry. These two disparate groups needed each other at this early stage – indeed their fortunes were intertwined. The growing wealth and increasing voice of those outside ‘good society’ were beginning to challenge the tradition of breeding as a passport to good society, and this would be exacerbated by the severe economic depression of the early 1840s.

The men of the Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution played a role in the shifting power structures in the fledgling Port Phillip community at a time when major administrative decisions were referred to New South Wales and governance was slow to catch up with early entrepreneurial activities. More than a decade later, in 1851, with official Separation from New South Wales, which coincided with the discovery of gold, the new Colony of Victoria became subject to greater local bureaucratic controls. The level of freedom experienced by the first settlers was diminished, and surely Melbourne became, if a little more ‘governed’, a little less colourful as a result.

The Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution represented ‘an important stage in the development of Melbourne’s cultural institutions, and more importantly, a crucial prelude to the establishment of a public library, museums and gallery complex’. Possibly Melbourne’s first ‘public’ library was that set up by Fawkner in 1838, who made his collection of books and a range of English newspapers available to patrons of his hotel. From the early 1840s the Library of the Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution, available to members only, was the only substantial library until the early 1850s. In 1851, a committee, of which Redmond Barry was a member, proposed a ‘Victoria Subscription Library’ to supply ‘a want that has been long felt’, and published Rules, Orders and Bye-Laws. The committee had acquired ‘the small but well selected Library of the late Tasmanian Society’ to which it hoped to add donations. The venture was short-lived
and in 1853 trustees were appointed for Melbourne’s first Public Library, now the State Library of Victoria.

November 2013 marks the centenary of the opening of the domed Reading Room of Melbourne’s Public Library, and also – almost to the day – the 174th anniversary of the establishment of the Melbourne Mechanics’ Institution. Both Redmond Barry and David Charteris McArthur had been committee members of the Mechanics’ Institution before becoming Trustees of the Public Library. Prior to the latter’s establishment, the largest library in the city was that of the Mechanics’ Institution; these two establishments would become enduring elements of the cultural landscape of Melbourne.

Appendix: Minutes of the proceedings of adjourned [Public] Meeting held in the Scots School House on [12 November 1839]

Captain Lonsdale in the chair.

1st Resolution: Moved by Mr J. J. Peers seconded by Mr Jno Sutherland ‘That the following Gentlemen be requested to act as officers – being ex-officio members of the Committee’.

Patron: b [C. J. Latrobe Esq]

President: b Capn Lonsdale, [Police Magistrate]


Treasurer: ‘Jno Gardiner Esq [pastoralist]

Auditors: b Wm Highett Esq [banker]; ‘D. C. McArthur Esq, [banker, landowner]

Secretary: ‘Rev’d J. Forbes [Pres. minister]

Assist. Secy 4 Mr W. Morrison [builder]

Curators: b Drs Holland and b Wilkie

Carried unanimously

2nd Resolution: Proposed by Mr J. Coulstock, seconded by Mr G Say ‘That the following Gentlemen form the Committee for the ensuing year’ [given names added where known]:

Messrs: 4 Anderson, Jos [builder]; ‘Beaver, George [builder]; ‘Best [David, builder]; ‘Brewster, Edward J. [barrister]; 4 Bodecin, Peter [carpenter]; ‘Brown; b Burns, Thomas [former Pres.min.]; 4 Caulfield, John [builder]; ‘Craig, Skene [merchant]; 4 Dinwoodie, John [saddler]; a Graham, James [merchant]; ‘Kibble; 4 Mayne, Patrick [carpenter/builder]; 4 Murphy, John R. [brewer]; 4 Macarthur, Donald G. [surveying clerk]; 4 Hurlstone, Peter [engineer/builder]; 4 Peers, John J. [builder]; 4 Rankin, John [builder]; 4 Rattenbury, James [PWD clerk of works]; 4 Reeves, Robert [auctioneer]; a Robertson; b Rucker, Wm F. A. [merchant]; ‘Rushton [builder]; 4 Russell, Robert [architect/surveyor]; 4 Sims, Alexander [surveyor]; 4 Stevenson [Joseph, builder]; 4 Strode, Thomas [printer]; 4 Sutherland, John; b Welsh, Patricius Wm [merchant]; b Williams [C, accountant]; 4 Wintle, George [gaoler]; ‘Paterson.

Carried unanimously

Key: ‘ Gentleman by birth; b Gentleman by profession or occupation; ‘ accepted as Gentleman through wealth, occupation, etc.; 4 mechanic; 6 indeterminate.

Based on categories in Paul de Serville, Port Phillip Gentlemen and good society before the goldrushes, Melbourne, Oxford University Press, 1980, pp. 31-34.